

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/932,018	08/18/2001	Chris J. Kavanaugh	Y01-028	4155	
7:	590 03/28/2003				
Kenneth W. Float The Law Offices of Kenneth W. Float P.O. Box 80790			EXAMINER		
			WILSON, LEE D		
Rancho Santa N	Margarita, CA 92688		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3723	1 h	
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003	12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
				
Office Action Summary		09/932,018	CHRIS KAVANAUGH	
	,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	LEE D WILSON	3723	
Peri d fo			na the conceptioned data as a	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	10 March 2003		
2a)□		This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for all		atters, prosecution as to the merits is	
•—	closed in accordance with the practice und			
·	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	tion		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without the applicant state applicant s			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Applicati 	ion Papers			
·	The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ad	•		
445	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11)[]	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
40)[]·	If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
_	ander 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) _l	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum			
,	2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
* \$	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	_	
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom			
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) 🔲 Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice o	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

ñ

Application/Control Number: 09/932,018 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Palenik III (3987579).

Palenik III discloses a universal fixture having a plurality of dual axis clamps (38) each are rotatably and slidably secured rods (fig.3), and a base (13). Palenik III discloses enough parts to anticipates all claim pluralities.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/10/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered.
- a. Applicant argues that the rods of the prior art are not securable to blocks but that is not true.
- b. There is no structure being claimed that locks or allows the rods to slide relative to each other.

Application/Control Number: 09/932,018

18

Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

c. Applicant argues that rods do not lock but if the rods are secured from movement they

are locked. Applicant should claim the structure that locks especially since this limitation since

patentability is being based on it.

d. The claims will always have some angle that can be considered an artbitray angle.

e. Applicant argues that the prior art does not disclose universal holding fixture because

of it intended use; however, if a device can support a workpiece it would then be useable as a

workholder.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee Wilson whose telephone number is (703) 305-4094.

ldw

March 26, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER